UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,854	09/10/2003	Dong Kyu Lee	2060-3-58	5037
	7590 04/24/200 DEGERMAN, KANG &	EXAMINER		
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@lhlaw.com ip.lhlaw@gmail.com ip.lhlaw@live.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/659,854	LEE, DONG KYU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RASHA S. AL AUBAIDI	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/09	0/2009.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 21</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom ripphoduori				

Application/Control Number: 10/659,854 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

In view of Applicant's after final amendment filed on 01/09/2009, PROSECUTION
 IS HEREBY REOPENED. New ground of rejection set forth below. Claims 1-16 and 21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Chung et al. (Pub. No.: 2003/0002476) in view of Son et al. (US PAT # 7,457,278).

Regarding claim 1, Chung teaches in an integrated internet phone call routing system a system and method directed to a call setup procedure for call being place by phone-to-computer and/or computer-phone [see, 0005-0006, 0011 and 0028]. Chung teaches the use of a gateway that id designated to convert and translates protocols when placing calls from/to two different networks (i.e., VOIP and PSTN) [see 0025, 0031]. Chung also discuss that a terminal at a second network (PSTN) may transfer an

Art Unit: 2614

alert signal (i.e., ring signal) in response to receiving a call connection from another party from the first network [see 0028, lines 64-67 and lines 1-2].

Although the Examiner believes that the use of "ring back tone" is obvious and well known in the art of telephony.

However, Chung does not specifically teach does not specifically teach the use of "ring back tone" as recited in the claim language.

Thus, the Examiner introduces Son which teaches a terminal connection device, after receiving the response from the VoIP gateway 50b, the IP network 2 transmits to the VoIP gateway 50a an MDCX command indicating that the VoIP gateway 50b calls the telephone terminal 70b (S56a). After receiving the MDCX command, the VoIP gateway 50a transmits to the telephone terminal 70a a *ring back tone* (S56b), and sends to the IP network 2 a response to the MDCX command (S56c) (see col. 14, lines 56-62, col. 15, lines 11-20 and col. 16, lines 19-25). Note that a ring back tone is exchanged between terminals in response to generating call setup request.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of utilizing ring back tone between terminals, as taught by Son, into the teachings of Chung in order to *inform and alert*

users of Chung at terminals upon establishing calls. Again, the use and advantages of "ring back tones" are old and well known in the art of telephony.

Claims 8-9, 11 and 21 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. The claimed feature of "decision section for deciding whether to generate a ring back tone ...etc" as recited in claim 9, is extremely obvious. These kinds of decisions are made in seamless matter that requires no intervention from a user. The claimed "signal processor" as recited in claim 9, is inherent if not obvious.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Chung teaches the use of real-time protocol [see 0029].

Claims 3 and 12 recite "storing the ring back tone data; and reading the stored ring back tone data according to a "first-in first-out" method so as to insert the ring back tone data to the response message". Storing a ring back tone data cording to a "first in first out" is obvious, since "first-in first-out" method is old and well known in the art in the queuing system.

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Chung teaches providing appropriate voice tuning depending of the type of connection [see 0017].

Claims 5-6 and 14-15 limitation are obvious and well known in the art. One can obviously set any kind of identification parameters such as phone number, prefix, and type of the call, time or the day ...etc. see also discussion of Son col. 7, lines 65-67 and col. 8, lines 1-8.

Regarding claims 7 and 16, this can read on the user going off hook. Obviously when a user goes off hook and answers the call there is no more ring back tone generated.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Application/Control Number: 10/659,854 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614